# On a numerical construction of viability sets in the problems of chemotherapy of malignant tumors

Natalia Novoselova

N.N. Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics (IMM UB RAS), Ural Federal University

II International Seminar "Control Theory and Theory of Generalized Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations" (CGS 2020), dedicated to the 75th anniversary of Academician Andrei Izmailovich Subbotin Yekaterinburg, October 26 - 30, 2020

## Notations

m be quantity of malignant cells,

h be quantity of drug,

f(h) be a therapy function describing the effect of drug on tumor cells,

u(t) be a restricted control,

 ${\cal T}$  be the given final instance,

 $\pmb{M}$  be the maximum quantity of malignant cells in the body compatible with life,

L be the maximum quantity of drug in the body,

 $\boldsymbol{Q}$  be the maximum quantity of drug injected into the tumor per unit time.

#### Mathematical model

The process of interaction between tumor cells and drugs is described by the following model, where time varies within  $t \in [0, T]$ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dm}{dt} = g(m) - \gamma m f(h), \quad m(t_0) = m_0, \ \gamma - const > 0, \\ \frac{dh}{dt} = -\alpha h + u(t), \quad h(t_0) = h_0, \ \alpha - const > 0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

#### Mathematical model

The tumor can grow according to the following laws:

1. 
$$g(m) = rm - \theta m \ln(m) - \text{Gompertz law}, r, \theta - \text{const} > 0$$
,

2. 
$$g(m) = rm \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{m}{\theta} \right)^{\beta} \right]$$
 - generalized logistic law,  
 $r, \theta, \beta - \text{const} > 0.$ 

## Restrictions

The set of starting points in the model is considered with the following restrictions:

$$t_0 \in [0, T], \quad 0 < m_0 < M, \quad 0 \le h_0 \le L.$$

Let us consider piecewise constant functions as admissible controls:

$$u(\cdot):[t_0,T]\mapsto [0,Q].$$

It is assumed that the amount of drug introduced into the tumor per unit time is restricted:

$$\mathbf{0} \le \mathbf{u}(t) \le \mathbf{Q}. \tag{2}$$

## Therapy function

Consider a piecewise monotone, continuously differentiable therapy function f(h) with the following properties:

A1. The function f(h) is positive definite on [0, L],

A2. The function f(h) has two maximum points  $\hat{h}_1$  and  $\hat{h}_3$  and one minimum point  $\hat{h}_2$  such that

$$0 < \hat{h}_1 < \hat{h}_2 < \hat{h}_3 < L, \quad F = \max_{h \in [0,L]} f(h) = f(\hat{h}_1) = f(\hat{h}_3).$$

A3. The condition is valid:  $0 < \alpha \hat{h}_i < Q$ , i = 1, 2, 3.

#### Example of therapy function



#### Statement of the problem

The problem of optimal control is to construct an admissible control that minimizes the terminal cost function

1. for Gompertz law:

$$\sigma_1(m(T)) = m^2(T; t_0, m_0, h_0, u(\cdot)) \to \inf_{u(\cdot)}, \quad (3)$$

2. for the generalized logistic law:

$$\sigma_2(m(T)) = m^{\beta}(T; t_0, m_0, h_0, u(\cdot)) \to \inf_{u(\cdot)}.$$
 (4)

where  $m(t) = m(t; t_0, m_0, h_0, u(\cdot)), t \in [t_0, T]$  - solution of the system (1) with initial conditions  $(t_0, m_0, h_0)$ , generated by the influence of admissible control u(t).

#### Value function

We introduce the value function in the considered problem, which to each initial state of the system  $(t_0, m_0, h_0) \in [t_0, T] \times [0, M] \times [0, L]$  sets the optimal result  $Val_i(t_0, m_0, h_0)$  according to (3) and (4). The value function is as follows

1. for Gompertz law:

$$Val_{1}(t_{0}, h_{0}, m_{0}) = m_{0}^{e^{-2\theta(t-t_{0})}} exp\left[\frac{2r}{\theta}(e^{-\theta(t-t_{0})}-1)-2\gamma V(t_{0}, h_{0})\right],$$

2. for the generalized logistic law:

$$\frac{Val_{2}(t_{0},h_{0},m_{0})=}{\theta^{\beta}m_{0}^{\beta}e^{-\beta\gamma}V(t_{0},h_{0})}$$
$$\frac{\theta^{\beta}m_{0}^{\beta}e^{-\beta\gamma}V(t_{0},h_{0})}{\theta^{\beta}e^{-\beta}r(T-t_{0})+\beta m_{0}^{\beta}r\int_{t_{0}}^{T}exp\left[-\beta(r(\tau-t_{0})+\gamma V(\tau,h^{0}(\tau)))d\tau\right]}$$

## Value function

Where V(t, h) there is an optimal result in the following reduced optimal control problem:

$$egin{aligned} &rac{dh}{dt}=-lpha h+u(t), \quad u\in [0,Q], \quad h(t_0)=h_0, \ &J_{t_0,h_0}(u(\cdot))=\int_{t_0}^T f(h(t;t_0,h_0,u(\cdot)))dt o \sup_{u(\cdot)} \ &(t,h)\mapsto V(t,h)=\sup_{u(\cdot)} J_{t_0,h_0}(u(\cdot)). \end{aligned}$$

## Optimal synthesis

The optimal synthesis in the considered problem (1), (3) and (1), (4) has the form:

$$u^{0}(t,h) = \begin{cases} \alpha \hat{h}_{1}, & (t,h) \in G_{1}, \\ \alpha \hat{h}_{3}, & (t,h) \in G_{2}, \end{cases}$$
$$Q, & (t,h) \in \Pi_{1}, \\ 0, & (t,h) \in \Pi_{2}, \\ 0, & (t,h) \in \Pi_{3}, \\ Q, & (t,h) \in \Pi_{4} \setminus \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Optimal synthesis and value function 0000

#### Optimal synthesis



# Solvability set

Consider viability sets  $W_i$ :

1. In the problem (1), (3) the viability set has the form

$$\begin{split} W_1 &= \bigg\{ (t_0, m_0, h_0) \in [0, T] \times [0, M] \times [0, L] : \operatorname{Val}_1(t_0, m_0, h_0) \leq M^2 \bigg\}, \\ M &= e^{\frac{r - \gamma F}{\theta}}, \end{split}$$

2. In the problem (1), (4) the viability set has the form

$$W_{2} = \left\{ (t_{0}, m_{0}, h_{0}) \in [0, T] \times [0, M] \times [0, L] : \operatorname{Val}_{2}(t_{0}, m_{0}, h_{0}) \leq M^{\beta} \right\},$$
$$M = \theta \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma F}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

#### Theorem

For  $W \in \{W_1, W_2\}$  the following statements are true:

1. For any points  $(t_0, m_0, h_0) \in W$  it is true that  $m_0 \leq M$ .

2. The set W is weakly invariant with respect to the differential inclusion  $\dot{w} \in Y(w)$ , where

$$w = (t, m, h) \mapsto Y(w) = (1, g(m) - \gamma m f(h), -\alpha h + [0, Q]).$$

3. For any point  $w_0 = (t_0, m_0, h_0) \notin W$  and for any measurable function  $u(\cdot) : [t_0, T] \mapsto [0, Q]$  there is such a point in time  $t_* \in (t_0, T)$ , that the inequality holds:

$$m(t_*; t_0, h_0, m_0, u(\cdot)) > M.$$

#### References I



📚 Bratus A. S., Chumerina E. S. Optimal control in therapy of solid tumor growth. Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 2008; 48(6):892-911



Subbotin A.I. Generalized Solutions of First-Order PDEs: The Dynamical Optimization Perspective. Birkhauser. Boston, 1995.

📡 Subbotina N.N., Novoselova N.G. The value function in a problem of chemotherapy of a malignant tumor growing according to the Gompertz law // IFAC-PapersOnLine. 2018. V. 51. Issue 32. P. 855–860.



Subbotina N. N., Novoselova N. G. On applications of the Hamilton – Jacobi equations and the theory of optimal control to the problems of chemotherapy of malignant tumors // Tr. MIRAN them. V.A. Steklova. - 2019. - T. 304 - P. 273-284.

problems of chemother in di that the that the the N.N. Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics (IMM UB RAS), Ural Federal Unive

# THANKS FOR ATTENTION!